



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAYS**  
**WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT NEAR MUKHTIARKAR OFFICE BYE PASS MATIARI**

**SUBJECT: - PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 07-08-2023.**

A meeting of the procurement committee was held in the office of Executive Engineer, Highways Division Matiari under the chairmanship of Executive Engineer.

2. The below mentioned member of committee attended the meeting to discuss the bids invited for the procurement of works vide letter No. **TC/G-55/410** dated: **21-07-2023** and subsequently hoisted on the website of Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority and Information Technology Department Government of Sindh.

Following attend the meeting:

1. Executive Engineer  
Highways Division  
Matiari Chairman
2. Executive Engineer  
Education Works Division,  
Matiari Member
3. Divisional Accounts Officer  
Highways Division Matiari Member

4. During the course of meeting following discussion were made by the committee.

- i. The tender received on dated: 07-08-2023 at 09:30 AM were opened before the procurement committee in presence of representatives of participants.
- ii. The methods for bidding documents observed as single envelop.
- iii. The tender was opened on 07-08-2023 before the tender opening Committee.

5. The committee was informed that **11 Nos** bidders have purchased the bidding documents upto dated: 07-08-2023 and **11 Nos** have responded the bids and received today upto 09:45 AM in the office of Executive Engineer, Highways Division Matiari.

6. During the opening of tender received, following status was found.

S.No. of NIT	Name of Firms	Raking in Term of Cost	Bid of Offered	Remarks
	<b>SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAMME (SAP) 2023-24</b>			
01	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	16,736,528/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	17,041,106/-	Highest Rejected
02	M/S Waheed & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,114,001/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,203,977/-	Highest Rejected
03	M/S Waheed & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,114,015/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,203,991/-	Highest Rejected
04	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,249,890/-	Accepted
	M/S Imdad Ali	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,430,368/-	Highest Rejected
05	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,249,880/-	Accepted
	M/S Hadi Bux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,430,358/-	Highest Rejected
06	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,249,888/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,430,366/-	Highest Rejected
07	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,249,895/-	Accepted
	M/S Waheed & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,430,373/-	Highest Rejected
08	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,376,843/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,550,094/-	Highest Rejected
09	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,184,084/-	Accepted
	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,270,764/-	Highest Rejected
10	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,376,998/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,550,249/-	Highest Rejected
11	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,179,222/-	Accepted
	M/S Ahad & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,265,572/-	Highest Rejected
12	M/S Ahad & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,181,156/-	Accepted

	M/S Waheed & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,267,506/-	Highest Rejected
13	M/S Ahad & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,187,175/-	Accepted
	M/S Hadi Bux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,273,525/-	Highest Rejected
14	M/S Imdad Ali	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	5,028,255/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	5,132,099/-	Highest Rejected
15	M/S Imdad Ali	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	5,028,055/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	5,131,899/-	Highest Rejected
16	M/S Imdad Ali	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1,6,517,982/-	Accepted
	M/S Ahad & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1,6,877,671/-	Highest Rejected
17	M/S Imdad Ali	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1,4,889,563/-	Accepted
	M/S Waheed & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1,5,213,793/-	Highest Rejected
18	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,194,568/-	Accepted
	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,280,918/-	Highest Rejected
19	M/S Ahad & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,190,642/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,276,992/-	Highest Rejected
20	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,382,267/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,554,412/-	Highest Rejected
21	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,034,799/-	Accepted
	M/S Imdad Ali	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,124,455/-	Highest Rejected
22	M/S Hadi Bux	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,048,416/-	Accepted
	M/S Waheed & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,138,392/-	Highest Rejected
23	M/S Ahad & Co.	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,048,519/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,138,495/-	Highest Rejected
24	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	4,042,735/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4,132,711/-	Highest Rejected
25	M/S Imdad Ali	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,099,688/-	Accepted
	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,279,105/-	Highest Rejected
26	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,099,692/-	Accepted
	M/S Hadi Bux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,279,109/-	Highest Rejected
27	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,099,691/-	Accepted
	M/S Ahad & Co.	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,279,108/-	Highest Rejected
28	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,099,692/-	Accepted
	M/S Z.A Juno	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,279,109/-	Highest Rejected
29	M/S Right Star Builders Developers & Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	8,101,864/-	Accepted
	M/S Irshad Ali Dahri	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8,281,281/-	Highest Rejected

7. The committee thoroughly scrutinized each case / tender document, by comparing arithmetically and financially with sanctioned estimates / bill of quantities and criteria for eligibility and minimum qualification as per bidding documents.

8. **Recommendation**

After due deliberation / scrutiny of each tender document by the committee, it was recommended that lowest evaluated responsive bidder may be awarded the respective tender.

9. **Decision**

In view of Para No.7 of this report it is decided that tenders are being awarded to above mentioned lowest responsive bidders accordingly.

  
SABIR ALI ABBASI  
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAYS DIVISION  
MATIARI (MEMBER)

  
WAQAR AHMED UNAR  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAYS DIVISION  
MATIARI (CHAIRMAN)

  
ABDUL SATTAR TUNIO  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION  
MATIARI (MEMBER)